

KELLY M. DERMODY (SBN 171716)
JAHAN C. SAGAFI (SBN 224887)
LIEFF, CABRASER, HEIMANN
& BERNSTEIN, LLP
275 Battery Street, 30th Floor
San Francisco, California 94111-3339
Telephone: (415) 956-1000
Facsimile: (415) 956-1008
E-mails: kdermody@lchb.com
jsagafi@lchb.com

JAMES M. FINBERG (SBN 114850)
PETER E. LECKMAN (SBN 235721)
ALTSHULER BERZON LLP
177 Post Street, Suite 300
San Francisco, California 94108
Telephone: (415) 421-7151
Facsimile: (415) 362-8064
E-mails: jfinberg@altshulerberzon.com
pleckman@altshulerberzon.com

Attorneys for
Plaintiff Ahmed Higazi

M. KIRBY C. WILCOX (SBN 78576)
JEFFREY D. WOHL (SBN 96838)
MOLLY A. HARCOS (SBN 233556)
PAUL, HASTINGS, JANOFISKY
& WALKER LLP
55 Second Street, 24th Floor
San Francisco, California 94105
Telephone: (415) 856-7000
Facsimile: (415) 856-7100
E-mails: kirbywilcox@paulhastings.com
jeffwohl@paulhastings.com
mollyharcos@paulhastings.com

*Attorneys for
Defendant Cadence Design Systems, Inc.*

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

AHMED HIGAZI, on behalf of himself
and a class of those similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

CADENCE DESIGN SYSTEMS, INC.,

Defendant.

No. C-07-2813-JW

**COLLECTIVE ACTION AND CLASS
ACTION**

**[FIRST AMENDED PROPOSED] ORDER (1)
CONFIRMING CERTIFICATION OF
CLASS AND COLLECTIVE ACTION,
(2) GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL TO
CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT, AND (3)
ENTERING FINAL JUDGMENT**

Date: July 7, 2008
Time: 9:00 a.m.
Courtroom: 8
Judge: Hon. James Ware

1 On July 7, 2008, a hearing was held on the joint motion of plaintiff Ahmed Higazi and defendant
2 Cadence Design Systems, Inc. (“Cadence”) (collectively the “Parties”), for final approval of their class
3 settlement; and on the separate motion of plaintiff and his counsel for awards of the Class
4 Representative Payment and the Class Counsel Attorneys’ Fees and Costs Payment. Kelly M. Dermody
5 and Jahan C. Sagafi of Lieff, Cabraser, Heimann & Bernstein, LLP, and James M. Finberg of Altshuler
6 Berzon LLP appeared for plaintiff; and Jeffrey D. Wohl and Molly A. Harcos of Paul, Hastings,
7 Janofsky & Walker LLP appeared for Cadence.

8 The Parties have submitted their Joint Stipulation of Class Settlement and Class Settlement
9 Agreement and Release (the “Settlement”), which this Court preliminarily approved in its April 25,
10 2008, order (the “Preliminary Approval Order”). In accordance with the Preliminary Approval Order,
11 class members have been given notice of the terms of the Settlement and the opportunity to object to it
12 or to exclude themselves from its provisions. In addition, pursuant to the Class Action Fairness Act of
13 2005, 28 U.S.C. § 1715 (“CAFA”), Cadence has given the Attorney General of the United States and the
14 appropriate state official in each state in which a class member resides timely notice of the Settlement.

15 Having received and considered the Settlement, the supporting papers filed by the Parties, and
16 the evidence and argument received by the Court at the preliminary approval hearing held on March 3,
17 2008, and the final approval hearing on July 7, 2008, by means of this order (the “Final Approval
18 Order”) the Court grants final approval to the Settlement, and HEREBY ORDERS and MAKES
19 DETERMINATIONS as follows:

20 1. Except as otherwise specified herein, the Court for purposes of this Final Approval Order
21 adopts all defined terms set forth in the Settlement.

22 2. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this litigation and all related matters
23 and all state and federal claims raised in this action and released in the Settlement, and personal
24 jurisdiction over Cadence and all class members. Specifically, this Court has federal question
25 jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. section 1331; section 16(b) of the Fair Labor
26 Standards Act (“FLSA”), 29 U.S.C. § 216(b); and the Employee Retirement Income Security Act
27 (“ERISA”), 29 U.S.C. § 1132(e)(1).

28 3. This Court also has supplemental jurisdiction over all state-law claims asserted by

1 plaintiff because the state-law claims derive from a common nucleus of operative fact and form part of
2 the same case or controversy as those claims over which the Court has primary jurisdiction. *See* 28
3 U.S.C. § 1367 (providing for supplemental jurisdiction over related state-law claims that “form part of
4 the same case or controversy”); *United Mine Workers v. Gibbs*, 383 U.S. 715, 726 (1996) (federal courts
5 have supplemental jurisdiction over state law claims that arise from the same “common nucleus of
6 operative fact” such that the parties “would ordinarily be expected to try them all in one judicial
7 proceeding”).

8 4. This Court also has jurisdiction to approve the Settlement’s release of claims by class
9 members over which the Court has jurisdiction, even if the Court would not independently have
10 jurisdiction over those released claims. *Class Plaintiffs v. City of Seattle*, 955 F.2d 1268, 1287-88 (9th
11 Cir. 1992) (“[A] federal court may release not only claims alleged in the complaint, but also state claims
12 arising from the same nucleus of operative facts over which the court would not have jurisdictional
13 competence.”); *Reyn’s Pasta Bella, LLC v. Visa USA, Inc.*, 442 F.3d 741, 748 (9th Cir. 2006) (same).

14 5. Pursuant to the Preliminary Approval Order, a Notice of (1) Proposed Class Settlement
15 and (2) Final Settlement Approval Hearing (the “Class Notice”), a Claim Form, and an Election Not to
16 Participate in Settlement were sent to each class member by first-class mail. These papers informed
17 class members of the terms of the Settlement, their right to claim a share of the settlement proceeds,
18 their right to object to the Settlement or to elect not to participate in the Settlement and pursue their own
19 remedies, and their right to appear in person or by counsel at the final approval hearing and be heard
20 regarding approval of the Settlement. Notice was provided with ample time for the class members to
21 follow these procedures.

22 6. The Court finds and determines that this notice procedure afforded adequate protections
23 to class members and provides the basis for the Court to make an informed decision regarding approval
24 of the Settlement based on the responses of class members. Notice was accomplished in all material
25 respects in the manner prescribed by the Settlement. The Court finds and determines that the notice
26 provided in this case was the best notice practicable, which satisfied the requirements of law and due
27 process.

28 7. Pursuant to CAFA, not later than 10 days after the motion seeking preliminary approval

1 of the Settlement was filed in court, Cadence served upon the Attorney General of the United States and
2 the appropriate state official of each state in which a class member resides a notice of the Settlement
3 consisting of: a copy of the complaint in this action; a notice of the scheduled judicial hearings in this
4 class action; copies of the Settlement, proposed Class Notice, Claim Form, and Election Not to
5 Participate in Settlement; and the names of class members who reside in each state and the estimated
6 proportionate share of the claims of class members in each state to the entire Settlement. The notice of
7 Settlement also invited comment on the Settlement. This Final Approval Order is not being issued
8 earlier than 90 days after the later of the dates on which the appropriate federal and state officials were
9 served with the notice of proposed settlement.

10 8. The Court finds and determines that Cadence's notice of Settlement was timely,
11 adequate, and compliant with the statutory requirements of CAFA. Accordingly, 28 U.S.C. section
12 1715(e) has no application to the Settlement.

13 9. For the reasons stated in the Preliminary Approval Order, this Court finds and determines
14 that the proposed Settlement Class, as defined in the definitions section of Settlement and in section I of
15 its Preliminary Approval Order, meets all of the legal requirements for class certification under Federal
16 Rule of Civil Procedure 23 ("Rule 23") (a) and (b)(3), and it is hereby ordered that the Settlement Class
17 is finally approved and certified as a class for purposes of settlement of this action.

18 10. For the reasons stated in the Preliminary Approval Order, this Court finds and determines
19 that the action meets all of the legal requirements for certification as a collective action under
20 section 16(b) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), for the three-year period preceding the filing of
21 plaintiff's complaint, and it is hereby ordered that the action is certified as a collective action for
22 purposes of settlement of this action.

23 11. Pursuant to Rule 23(e), the Court further finds and determines that (a) the terms of the
24 Settlement are fair, reasonable, and adequate to the Class and to each class member, (b) no class
25 members have opted out, (c) no class members have objected to the Settlement, (d) the Settlement is
26 ordered finally approved, and (e) all terms and provisions of the Settlement should be and hereby are
27 ordered to be consummated. The Court specifically finds that the Settlement is rationally related to the
28 strength of plaintiff's claims given the risk, expense, complexity, and duration of further litigation. The

1 Court also finds that the Settlement is the result of arms-length negotiations between experienced
2 counsel representing the interests of the class and Cadence, after thorough factual and legal
3 investigation. *Staton v. Boeing*, 327 F.3d 938, 960 (9th Cir. 2003); *Class Plaintiffs*, 955 F.2d at 1291.

4 12. The Court further finds that the response of the class to the Settlement supports
5 settlement approval. Of the 207 class members, 182 (88%) submitted Claim Forms. In addition, none
6 elected not to participate in the Settlement. Furthermore, no class members submitted written
7 objections to the Settlement as part of this notice process or stated their intent to appear at the final
8 approval hearing.

9 13. The Court finds and determines that the payments to be made to the class members as
10 provided for in the Settlement are fair and reasonable. The proposed plan of allocation is rationally
11 related to the relative strengths of the respective claims asserted. The Court hereby gives final approval
12 to and orders the payment of those amounts be made to the claimants out of the \$7,664,856.61
13 Settlement Payment in accordance with the terms of the Settlement.

14 14. The Court confirms as final the appointment of plaintiff Ahmed Higazi as the class
15 representative of the class under Rule 23 and as class representative of the nationwide FLSA class under
16 section 16(b).

17 15. The Court confirms as final the appointment of the following law firms and attorneys as
18 class counsel ("Class Counsel") for the Rule 23 and FLSA classes: Kelly M. Dermody and Jahan C.
19 Sagafi of Lieff, Cabraser, Heimann & Bernstein LLP, and James M. Finberg and Peter E. Leckman of
20 Altshuler Berzon LLP.

21 16. The Court finds and determines that payment to the California Labor and Workforce
22 Development Agency of \$20,000 as its share of the settlement of civil penalties under the California
23 Labor Code pursuant to the Labor Code Private Attorneys General Act, Cal. Gov't Code § 2698 *et seq.*,
24 is fair, reasonable, and appropriate; and the Settlement extinguishes Cadence's liability for civil
25 penalties to the State of California, plaintiff, or Participating Class Members in accordance with the
26 Settlement. The Court hereby gives final approval to and orders that the payment of that amount be paid
27 out of the Qualified Settlement Fund in accordance with the terms of the Settlement.

28 17. Nothing in this Final Approval Order will preclude any action to enforce the Parties'

obligations under the Settlement or under this order, including the requirement that Cadence make the Settlement Payments in accordance with the terms of the Settlement.

18. Upon completion of administration of the Settlement, the Settlement Administrator will provide written certification of such completion to the Court and counsel for the Parties.

19. By operation of the entry of this Final Approval Order and pursuant to the Settlement, all Participating Class Members are permanently barred from prosecuting against the Cadence Parties any Participating Class Member Released Claim as set forth in the section XV of the Settlement. The Court has reviewed the release in section XV of the Settlement and finds it to be fair, reasonable, and enforceable under Rule 23, the FLSA and all other applicable law.

20. If, for any reason, the Settlement ultimately does not become Final (as defined in the Settlement, section I(O)), this Final Approval Order will be vacated; the Parties will return to their respective positions in this action as those positions existed immediately before the parties executed the Settlement; and nothing stated in the Settlement or any other papers filed with this Court in connection with the Settlement will be deemed an admission of any kind by any of the Parties or used as evidence against, or over the objection of, any of the Parties for any purpose in this action or in any other action.

21. The Parties entered into the Settlement solely for the purpose of compromising and settling disputed claims. Cadence in no way admits any violation of law or any liability whatsoever to plaintiff and the Class, individually or collectively, all such liability being expressly denied by Cadence.

22. By means of this Final Approval Order, this Court hereby enters final judgment in this action, as defined in Federal Rule of Procedure 58(a)(1).

23. Without affecting the finality of the Court's judgment in any way, the Court retains jurisdiction over this matter for purposes of resolving issues relating to interpretation, administration, implementation, effectuation and enforcement of the Settlement.

24. The Parties are hereby ordered to comply with the terms of the Settlement.

25. This action is dismissed with prejudice, each side to bear its own costs and attorneys' fees except as provided by the Settlement and the Court's orders.

Dated: July ____, 2008

James Ware
United States District Judge